1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA 3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CRIMINAL ACTION 4 NUMBER 07-10030-01 5 April 14, 2008 vs. 6 11:11 a.m. 7 RICHARD L. McNAIR Alexandria, Louisiana 8 9 10 SENTENCING 11 Certified transcript of proceedings before the Honorable 12 Dee D. Drell, United States District Judge. 13 14 APPEARANCES: 15 FOR THE GOVERNMENT: James G. Cowles, Jr. United States Attorney's Office 16 300 Fannin Street, Suite 3201 Shreveport, Louisiana 71101-3068 17 FOR THE DEFENDANT: Wayne J. Blanchard Federal Public Defenders Office 18 102 Versailles Boulevard, Suite 816 19 Lafayette, Louisiana 70501 2.0 REPORTED BY: Myra Primeaux, RMR, CRR Post Office Box 348 21 Alexandria, Louisiana 71309-0348 Phone: (318) 442-3080 22 23 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer. 25

1 THE COURT: Good morning to you-all. 2 United States v. Richard Lee McNair, Criminal Number 3 07-10030. The matter is called for sentencing at this time. 4 5 Would y'all make your appearances, please? MR. COWLES: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 Jim 7 Cowles on behalf of the United States. 8 MR. BLANCHARD: And Wayne Blanchard for Richard McNair, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. I see Mr. McNair here 10 11 with you. 12 Good morning, Mr. McNair. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Everyone ready to proceed at this 15 point? 16 MR. COWLES: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MR. BLANCHARD: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. And we moved the sentencing date forward at this point, again, I believe by 19 20 everyone's request. Is there any objection to the date; 21 that is, to conduct the sentencing at this time? 2.2 MR. COWLES: No, Your Honor. 23 MR. BLANCHARD: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Is there any other reason 25 why sentence shouldn't be imposed at this time?

MR. COWLES: No, Your Honor. 1 2 MR. BLANCHARD: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Then have the defendant and defense counsel and the government received and reviewed a copy of 4 5 the presentence report? MR. COWLES: Yes. 6 7 MR. BLANCHARD: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And are there any objections regarding the timing or receipt of the presentence report? 9 MR. COWLES: Not from the government, Your Honor. 10 11 MR. BLANCHARD: Not regarding the timing or receipt, no, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. You know that's a different 13 14 question from the other one. And Mr. Blanchard, you and your client have discussed 15 the presentence report. Would that be fair? 16 17 MR. BLANCHARD: We have, Your Honor. THE COURT: And Mr. McNair, do you feel like you 18 need any additional time to do that with Mr. Blanchard? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. I'm not sure I actually 2.2 had y'all introduce yourselves. Mr. Cowles, you're here for the government. 23 24 MR. COWLES: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: And I see Mr. Belgard at the table

2.2

next to you. Obviously Mr. Blanchard and Mr. McNair are here.

Now, objections, Mr. Blanchard, from you as to the factual statements contained in the presentence report.

MR. BLANCHARD: Not as to the factual statements, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. What about the government?

MR. COWLES: None, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any errors, additional corrections in the presentence report, or alterations or additions of any kind from either side that need to be made to make the presentence report accurate?

MR. COWLES: Not from the government, Your Honor.

MR. BLANCHARD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And as, then, the defendant, defense counsel, and the government don't have objections to the factual statements contained in the presentence report and as I find those statements to be in harmony with the evidence and the information presented, I'll adopt those factual statements as my findings of fact in this case.

Now then, Mr. Blanchard, do you have anything that you'd like to say at this point in mitigation of punishment?

MR. BLANCHARD: Yes. First of all, Your Honor, last week while I was in trial, I believe I got an addendum saying that there were no objections to the presentence investigation. But to make it clear that in my presentence memorandum, that I did object to the suggestion that there should be an upward departure, so I want that on the record.

THE COURT: Yes. And I noted that. I noted your objection to that. In fact, I noted specifically your argument for a specific sentence, I assume in accordance with *Gall*. That gives you the opportunity to do that. And you, if I recall correctly, asked me to run the sentence concurrent, if I read it correctly.

MR. BLANCHARD: I did, Your Honor. And you know, there are no other reasons other than what I stated in my memorandum, but I would like to state, you know, some of those reasons.

And those reasons are, first of all, the fact that he did not resist removal or extradition from Canada. You know, it seems to me that it would have been -- if you were looking for another opportunity to escape, the best thing would have been to resist and to resist extradition and to remain in a Canadian jail.

He also wanted to dispose of this, Your Honor, and did not take my suggestion that I thought, arguably, that this

should have been an assimilated defense, that he is not serving a federal sentence; he was serving a sentence for the state of North Dakota. And with that in mind, I urged him to ask me to -- or to allow me to move to dismiss the indictment based on that, that it should have been an assimilated offense. He didn't want to do that. I think that all goes towards acceptance of responsibility.

He did not want to go to trial. Again, I think if you're looking for an opportunity to escape or if you're serving a life sentence, just to get out of the prison, I mean, one way to do it is to go to trial, to have to be transported every day.

So I think those are all things that you can take into account in going along with the suggestion of the plea agreement, the non-binding recommendation from the United States that this should be a concurrent sentence. He is already serving a life sentence. I think everybody has to have a little hope that if they change their behavior, maybe there's a chance that he would be -- you know, have some short time of freedom and not die inside of a prison, Your Honor. And with all of that in mind, I would urge you to impose a concurrent sentence.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I want to be sure you haven't just created a problem here, Mr.

Blanchard, with regard to potential defenses in the case.

My pretrial understanding in this matter was that there were compacts and agreements between the state and the government pursuant to which Mr. McNair was properly incarcerated in the first place.

MR. BLANCHARD: There is. I have those.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. BLANCHARD: There is. I have the paperwork, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I mean, even at this late date, what are you doing with that legal defense? You indicated you had --

MR. BLANCHARD: I'm just saying that he waived it, Your Honor, and that that's something that you should -- you can take into account in giving him a concurrent sentence.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. McNair, you understand what he's just said?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. And I concur with what he said, that I don't want to fight it anymore, you know.

I've caused enough problems around here, you know, with what I did, and I understand that now. And that's why I just want to plead guilty and get to the ADX and do my time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Any comment from the government at this point with regard to that?

MR. COWLES: No, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Very well.

Anything else, Mr. Blanchard?

MR. BLANCHARD: There is one other thing I wanted to say, Your Honor, and that is that he did debrief extensively with Deputy Marshal Belgard and with the Pollock officials about how he got out of Pollock and, again, we would ask you to take that into consideration as a reason for imposing a concurrent sentence.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

How about you, Mr. McNair, do you have anything that you'd like to say or offer in mitigation of punishment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. In talking to Mr. Belgard, Marshal Belgard --

THE COURT: Let me get you to keep your seat so you can use the microphone.

THE DEFENDANT: In talking to Marshal Belgard, I didn't realize the problems that this caused in the community, the fear that it caused the people. When you do something like this, I don't think you think about that. You know, that's not something that enters your mind. You just -- I was angry and I lashed out.

And I didn't realize the little lady that, you know, came home and her front door was open, she was fearful that I had entered her house. And that doesn't enter your mind

when you do something like this. And that's why I want to take responsibility for this and I want to get it over with and, you know, not hurt the community anymore. That's one of the things that you don't think about, you know.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question.

THE DEFENDANT: Anything, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You keep trying to get out.

THE DEFENDANT: (Nods head up and down.)

THE COURT: How do you mean you don't think about that? I mean, you keep trying to go, keep trying to run.

THE DEFENDANT: Nobody ever brought it to my attention the cost and the problems that it caused the community until I talked to Marshal Belgard.

Marshal Belgard is a tremendous asset to this community. He treated my family with respect. He did his job in a respectful manner, and he pointed out, you know, some of the things that you don't look at. I mean, like I say, that little lady, I don't know who she was, but when she came home and her front door was open -- I didn't enter her house. I didn't go in anybody's home -- and when she came home and she found her front door open and she was scared to death, I think of what my mom or my family would have thought of, and you don't think about that.

And I was very angry with the way North Dakota had treated me on my hearing and they kind of led me along.

And that's no excuse. You know, that's no excuse. I'm getting kind of off the beaten path here.

I take full responsibility for what I did, and I'll spend the rest of my life in the ADX one way or the other, so, you know, it's part of life, man.

THE COURT: All right, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything from the government before sentence is imposed?

MR. COWLES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. With regard to a statement of reasons for imposing sentence, I find that the applicable offense level is 11. The applicable criminal history is category Roman numeral V. The applicable guideline ranges are 24 to 30 months incarceration, two to three years of supervised release, with probation not being applicable, and a 2,000 to \$20,000 fine. Restitution, likewise, is not applicable, but a \$100 special assessment is applicable.

And the reasons for those guideline determinations are set forth in the presentence report which I find reasonably addresses the criminal conduct in question, which accurately reports the applicable statutory sentences, and which accurately reports the correct term of supervised release and fine range.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And while I'm there, I am going to order that the presentence report be amended to correct Mr. Blanchard's observation, that he did object to -- whether it's actually an objection or a *Gall* argument, either way, he certainly gave us proper input on that, so I will call those objections because he labeled them that and we'll note that.

With regard to deviation from the guidelines, what I'm going to do requires some comment because I truthfully considered sending a notice out in this case about an upward departure. And that's because one thing that's not dealt with in the presentence report, to a large degree, is the time gap between Mr. McNair's escape and his return to custody, the fact that he went around, evaded, went to Canada, had to be caught in Canada and, yes, did waive extradition. But frankly, considering -- and I decided not to exceed the quidelines in the case because it, frankly, probably doesn't make any difference, and everybody wanted to get this case resolved and completed, so sending the notice would only have delayed the sentence in the case. And the sentence I'm going to impose is otherwise adequate to handle the matter before us because the guidelines do contemplate escape in this regard, and also, 3553(a) factors don't otherwise yield compelling consideration not to apply the guidelines.

2.2

So in imposing this sentence, I've noted the positions of everybody involved. I reviewed the documents, of course, and my role is to weigh all of the considerations and enter a sentence which is just under the circumstances, including the guidelines and the factors in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). And as I indicated, the sentencing considerations in this particular case are taken into account by the guidelines.

And pursuant, then, to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and United States v. Booker, the defendant, Richard Lee McNair, is sentenced to serve 30 months in the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons. Pursuant to the provisions of Sentencing Guideline 5G1.3(a), this sentence shall run consecutively to the imprisonment sentence the defendant is currently serving. Restitution is not applicable in this case. And considering the defendant's financial condition and lengthy terms of incarceration, no fine is ordered. And as Mr. McNair does pose a future risk of illegal substance abuse, the mandatory drug testing condition is ordered. The defendant is also required to cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed.

With regard to the consecutive sentence, let me make it clear for the record that that sentence is imposed consecutive to any state sentence or federal sentence he is presently serving or ordered to serve.

Okay. Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant is placed upon a three-year term of supervised release.

And while on supervised release, Mr. McNair shall comply with the standard conditions adopted by the court and the following special conditions:

One, the defendant must apply any federal income tax refund received during the period of supervision toward any unpaid, court-ordered monetary obligations;

Second, the defendant shall be subject to financial disclosure throughout the period of supervised release and shall provide U.S. Probation with all requested financial documentation. He is required to report all household income to U.S. Probation as requested;

Three, the defendant shall undergo a substance abuse evaluation and/or testing as directed by U.S. Probation; and

Four, the defendant shall undergo a mental health evaluation and/or treatment sessions as deemed necessary by U.S. Probation.

It's additionally ordered that the defendant pay a special assessment of \$100 to be due immediately.

The interest requirement is simply not applicable, but to the extent that it would otherwise be applicable, it would have been waived anyway.

I realize that the imposition of supervised release in

this case may seem odd, unusual, or silly, but it is certainly possible at some point, because of information not of my knowledge, that Mr. McNair's life sentence could otherwise be commuted some kind of way or pardoned, so in the event he is released prior to the conclusion of his sentence, the supervised release would then, of necessity, kick in.

With regard to appeal, Mr. McNair, I need to advise you that you can appeal your conviction if you believe your guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is some other fundamental defect in the proceedings that was not waived by your guilty plea.

You also have a statutory right to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances, particularly if you think the sentence is contrary to law. And with few exceptions, any notice of appeal must be filed within ten days of judgment being entered in your case. If you're unable to pay the cost of an appeal, you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, and if you so request, the clerk of court will prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf.

Now, as to imprisonment, Mr. McNair, of course, is remanded back to the custody of the United States Marshal to begin service of the sentence in the case.

There was a plea agreement in the case. Are you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

satisfied, Mr. Blanchard, the government has fully complied with all obligations at this point? MR. BLANCHARD: I am, Your Honor. THE COURT: Are there any motions at this point from the government or otherwise? MR. COWLES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then, I think everything in this case is concluded. MR. BLANCHARD: I do object to the sentence as being unreasonable, Your Honor. THE COURT: I understand. Mr. McNair, the odd part is, by all reports you're a very intelligent, talented guy, with otherwise great potential. It's going to be difficult for you to do anything with that, probably, where you're going, but, you know, I just would like for you to have a better life even inside and figure out a way to live out your days in some peace, the best way I can figure it. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned. (End of proceedings at 11:28 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Myra Primeaux, Official Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 15 do constitute a true and correct record of proceedings had in said Sentencing to the best of my ability and understanding.

I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the court and the judicial conference of the United States.

Subscribed and sworn to this 11th day of March, 2009.

s/ Myra Primeaux

MYRA PRIMEAUX, RMR, CRR U.S. Court Reporters Office

Post Office Box 348 Alexandria, Louisiana 71309

Phone: (318) 442-3080

т,